Foreign RTA Claims: What could possibly go wrong?

King's Bench Walk

Philip Mead

3 April 2025

Krakow

What is different about Rome II claims?

King's Bench Walk

Importing:

foreign law/rules

foreign discretion

(foreign) evidence of fact

foreign experts

- Challenge: making assumptions based on one's own experience of your legal system which will be valid for the conduct of the foreign claim
- Applies to both the lawyer conducting the litigation and the lawyer whose expertise is being imported

Before you issue [1]

- Limitation
- How long?
- Can you interrupt limitation?
- How do you stop the clock?
- Do you need to issue proceedings?
- Is limitation the same against the insurer as the tortfeasor?
- Are children/protected parties treated differently?
- Is your know-how up to date?
- Is it sensible to cover-off with up to date advice?



Before you issue [2]



- Who can sue?
- * Fatal accidents: who can claim? Is there one claim or several claims?
- Who has suffered loss: gratuitous care loss of the primary victim or the care provider?
- Does the employer have a claim?
- Are there hospital or social security expenses that are (or should be) recoverable by the injured Claimant?

Service of proceedings

- Check period of validity of claim form
- Service within limitation
- Translation
- Method of service valid in the State of Service
- Proof of service (need for Summary Judgment; Enforcement)
- Serve on the claims representative (Spedition Welter)
- * [UK: need for permission to serve out of the jurisdiction]

Early Liability Considerations

- Can you get hold of the police file?
- Do you need a local correspondent?
- * Is there a criminal case?
- Do you wish to participate?
- How to participate without prejudicing a civil claim in your own Courts?
- Can you take advantage of other proceedings/findings of fault/liability?
- Quality of the local evidence/police report?
- Need for early accident reconstruction evidence?

Contributory negligence

- Is it always the same?
- Where no fault liability applies?
- Was there an adequate investigation of the fault of the tortfeasor?
- Do you need medical evidence to establish causation?
- Or other experts (eg seatbelt expertise)?
- Proportionate reduction for acts of the passenger (Candolin/Wilkinson)?

Witnesses of Fact: Evidence by Videolink

- Time zones and running order of witnesses?
- Translation?
- Witness accompanied by a lawyer?
- Anyone else in the room?
- On mobile phone?
- Back-up needed?
- Working audio?
- Bundle?
- Holy book to swear an oath?



Need for Permission from a Foreign Government?

- Position of Hague Convention countries available on Convention website:
 https://www.hcch.net/en/publications-and-studies/details4/?pid=6546&dtid=42
- Many others are on the UK Government's Guidance website: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/taking-and-giving-evidence-by-video-link-from-abroad#tribunals
- France: Permission required (from French Central Authority).
- Germany: Videolink evidence not allowed.
- Greece: Permission required (from Greek Court).
- Spain: Permission required.

Expert evidence: the problem of Importation

- Issues of fact/evidence for the law of the forum
- NB: Courts, not Experts, judge veracity of witnesses
- Substance of the law for the Expert
- What if the way [forum] law treats evidence differs from foreign law?
- What if the way the [forum] Court deals with expert evidence differs?



The Essential Qualities of an Expert

- Whether the Expert Witness is Impartial in their presentation and assessment of the evidence
- Whether there is a reliable body of knowledge or experience to underpin the expert's evidence
- Overriding duty to the Court
- Duty of the expert: to be independent; provide objective unbiased opinion in relation to matters within their expertise; state facts and assumptions; state questions outside their expertise
- Includes duty to identify a range of opinions

- Need for separation of Fact from Opinion.
- * Foreign expert should <u>not</u> be opining on how to prove questions of fact: Eg: a foreign law expert states in their Opinion: "In accordance with [the Foreign law], this head of pecuniary loss is recoverable provided the Claimant is able to provide receipts for the expenditure incurred."
- * "What really matters in most cases are the reasons given for the opinion. As a practical matter, a well-constructed expert's report containing opinion evidence sets out the opinion and the reasons for it. If the reasons stand up, the opinion does, if not, not."
- * "As with judicial or other opinions, what carries weight is the reasoning, not the conclusion."



Foreign law evidence: questions in advance for the foreign expert

- How to evidence foreign law?
- How to describe and evidence discretion/matters of appreciation of the Judge?
- Is there a band of reasonable responses?
- What is outside the band of reasonable responses (ie unreasonable/impermissible/wrong)?
- What happens in systems with no binding appeals/precedent?
- Can you say position of the other side is wrong?

Questions to Consider (1)

- Is your expert bomb-proof?
- Do they understand the exigencies of cross-examination?
- Do they adequately reason their opinion?
- Do they express a range of opinion; if not why not?
- Are they advocating for one side, or giving that appearance?
- Is their opinion supported by other cases or published doctrine? If yes, is that evidenced? If so, how?
- Is there risk attached or uncertainty in the issue?

Questions to Consider (2)

- Proof of injury/loss = question of fact (for the law of the forum)
- Assessment of quantum (for the foreign law)
- Medico-legal aspects: Fact or Law?
- Does the Expert deal with issues of factual evidence or medical evidence?
- Use of a medico-legal assessor: to assess percentages of disability or categorization under the bareme?
- Is the medico-legal expert accepting facts as provided in the medical evidence? If not, why not?
- Does the legal expert refer to an assessor for an Opinion? Or ask questions of the medical experts? Do you need a separate medico-legal expert?



Questions to consider (3)



- What happens where foreign law does not in practice recognize particular heads of loss?
- Case management costs?
- Accommodation claims?
- Future losses and uncertainty? Matter of evidence or of law?



Thank you.